



## Strengthening Smooth Transition from the LDC Category

***Second substantive meeting of the AHWG on smooth transition  
16 March 2012***



Mulu Ketsela, Vice-chair  
UN Committee for Development Policy

# Structure

1. Observations on smooth transition
2. Strengthening the transition process
3. Strengthening the phasing out of specific support measures

# I. Key observations

1. Graduating countries are concerned about a possible loss of support
  - LDC status is binary (either you are LDC or not); development progress is continuous
    - Nature of support changes as country advances in its development
  - **Uncertainty faced by graduating countries**
    - What support is available for LDCs only?
    - Are we utilizing the support?
    - How relevant is this support for addressing LDC specific handicaps?
    - What support will be available after graduation?
    - How will phasing out proceed?

## II. Key observations (cont'd)

### 2. Graduating country needs to be driver of the process

- Importance of National development strategy:
  - Current LDC support and future graduation to be taken into account in development strategies of all LDCs
- Transition strategy and processes need to be aligned with country needs and capacities
- Development partners, including the UN system, must be responsive

## II. Recommendations: preparing for transition

### 1. Improve understanding of LDC-specific support measures

- Cataloguing of these measures (e.g., [www.un.org/ldcportal](http://www.un.org/ldcportal))
- Ex-ante impact assessment circulated more widely
- Stronger involvement of development and trading partners, including the UN system in impact assessment

### 2. Enhanced inter-agency technical assistance

- If requested, UNDP could kick start the process and facilitate the consultative mechanism
- A formal graduation capacity building programme could be developed

## II. Recommendations: preparing for transition

### 3. Stronger and clear commitment by partners

- Commitments included in the transition strategy
- Developing a transition strategy template can be helpful.
- Mechanism to be kept simple:
  - Take size and nature of the adjustment into account
  - Reflect administrative situation in the graduating country

### 4. Improved monitoring and reporting

- Annual concise progress reports on outcome of consultations
- Triennial reports on implementation: input to CDP monitoring and reporting to the Council

# III. Recommendations: phasing out measures TRADE

## 1. Preferential market access

- Establish clear procedures for phasing-out: bilateral and regional trade as well
- Withdraw preferences over long enough time period
- Provide technical assistance to prepare affected sectors (relate to Aid 4 trade)

## 2. Other SDT provisions under WTO

- Adopt formal procedures for extension/phasing-out of LDC specific SDT provisions

# III. Recommendation: phasing-out measures

## Bilateral ODA Flows:

- Few bilateral LDC-specific programmes, the impact potentially small.
- Allocation of ODA for LDCs often depends on human assets and income.
- If EVI could play a larger role as aid criterion: impact of graduation on ODA smoother and more commensurate with needs of graduating countries.

### III. Recommendations: phasing-out support Multilateral ODA and UN system

Clear transition procedures and transparent rules exist only in few cases, but need to be established for all LDC-specific support measures:

- Budget allocations for LDCs (targets) by multilateral organizations
- LDC-specific technical assistance funds
- Caps and discounts to LDC contributions to UN budget
- LDC specific travel funds

# Summing up:

- **Clearer message:** graduated LDCs will not be 'left alone' by the international community after graduation
- **Smooth transition mechanisms need to be strengthened**
  - Increasing transparency
  - Reducing uncertainty
  - Increasing engagement and commitment
  - Establishing clear rules
  - Increasing coherence
- **The CDP stands ready to provide further inputs to the ad-hoc working group**